

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	VENTOR	·	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/957,709	10/24/97	HOGREFE		Н	1486/41363CP
-			<b>¬</b> [		EXAMINER
		HM22/0301	•		
FINNEGAN, H & DUNNER,L.		ARABOW, GARRETT	[	HOUT ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1300 I STRE	ET N.W.				7.1
WASHINGTON	DC 20005			1656 DATE MAILEC	);

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

03/01/01



## **Advisory Action**

Application No. 08/957,709 Applicant(s)

Examiner

**Scott Houtteman** 

Group Art Unit 1656

Hogrefe et al.

TH	ΕP	ERI	OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
	a)		expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	b)		expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	date	2001	nsion of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ing the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be differ from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
X	Ap:	pella riod	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>May 23, 2000</u> (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Ap but	plic t is	cant NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Aug 22, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect I deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
X	The	e pr	pposed amendment(s):
		wil	be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
	X		not be entered because:
			they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
		_	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
			they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
			they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
		NO.	
			beyond P, furious not enabled and Sorge et al. prior art rejection
		Ap	plicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Ne se	ewly	proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
			fidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because:
$\Box$		ne a	fidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the
			ner in the final rejection.
X		-	rposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): s allowed: NONE
			s objected to:
			s rejected: <u>1-23, 30-39, 45, 46, 59-66, 77-80, 85, 87-92, 95, and 96</u>
	Th	пе р	roposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.
		ote t ther	he attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
			SCOTT W. HUUTTEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER



## Defective Notice of Appeal or Brief

Application No. 08/957,709

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Scott Houtteman

Group Art Unit 1656

Hogrefe et al.



☐ The Notice of Appeal filed on is:						
not acceptable because:						
it was not timely filed.						
the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(b).						
the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.						
the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b) is \$						
the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.191 in that there is no record of a second or a final rejection in this application.						
a Notice of Allowability, form PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on						
·						
The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:						
☐ The brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 1.192.						
☐ The statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 1.17(c).						
The submitted brief fee of \$ is insufficient. The brief fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(c) is \$						
The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken. Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).						
∑ The appeal in this application is DISMISSED because:						
The fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 1.17(c) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired.						
The brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136 has expired.						
🔀 Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this application:						
is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.						
is being returned to the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits is						
CLOSED.						
SCOTT W. HOUTTEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER						